

Judge Beverly Nettles-Nickerson



Don't you dare
hold me to a
higher stand of
conduct!

Beverly is yet another Judicial Misfit that found it convenient to give perjured testimony; obstruct justice and fabricate evidence while sitting on the bench. On Feb. 12, 2008, the Michigan Judicial Tenure Commission voted to bounce her from the bench and in its ruling specifically found that she engaged in felonious perjury.

The letters below were faxed on April 23, 2008 to the Michigan Attorney Grievance Commission seeking Nickerson's disbarment and to the Prosecutor in Lansing asking him to criminally prosecute her for perjury and obstruction of justice.



Dave Palmer
The Watchdawg
Email: Noethics1@aol.com
URL: www.noethics.net

April 23, 2008

Robert L. Agacinski
Grievance Administrator
Michigan Attorney Grievance Commission
(313) 961-5819 (fax)

Re: Misconduct of Judge Beverly Nettles-Nickerson
Violation of Rule 8.4 – R – MI Rules of Professional Conduct

Dear Mr. Agacinski:

I trust that you are aware of Judge Nettles-Nickerson's serial violations of Rule 8.4 in regards to her conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, and violation of criminal law (perjury/obstruction of justice).

My review of news stories in the *Detroit Free Press*, the *Lansing State Journal* and the Master's Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law (Feb. 12, 2008) clearly demonstrate that this Judge has wantonly, knowingly and with the prerequisite intent to deceive, committed multiple felonies involving perjury and obstruction of justice.

To suggest that Nettles-Nickerson should be allowed to retain her law license is of course absurd. If your office were to determine that perjury and obstruction of justice did not disqualify an attorney from being forever barred from practicing law in Michigan, then we may as well turn all legal/court proceedings into a liar's contest with the best liar/fabricator being the victor.

I have spent the past fifteen years or so investigating and reporting on judicial misconduct in the U.S. of A and several foreign venues. Unfortunately, I wasn't surprised at Judge Nettles-Nickerson's deplorable conduct. In fact she's somewhat of an amateur when it comes to committing perjury given irrefutable evidence of a Washington State Judge doing it well over fifty (50) times. Moreover, I must admit that I've seen it all in regards to Judicial Misfits and that would include judges masturbating on the bench, breaking into a dwelling and threatening an inhabitant (perceived enemy) with a loaded weapon, surfing for porn on court computers, molesting young girls, sexually abusing/molesting female court staff and uttering racial slurs.

The bottom line Mr. Agacinski is that if Ms. Nettles-Nickerson is allowed to retain her law license, then such a finding would merely foster greater contempt and disdain for the legal and judicial industries by a citizenry that is fed up with a dual standard of dispensing justice. We can no longer afford to allow these types of miscreants to foist themselves on an unsuspecting public by allowing those of Judge Nettle-Nickerson's ilk to continue to practice law in the State of Michigan.

What message would the Michigan State Bar be sending to attorneys in Michigan predisposed to engage in the same type of egregious misconduct as Nettles-Nickerson has cheerfully and wantonly engaged in if you do not strip her of her law license? I suspect it would be construed as an open invitation for more of the same.

My sole intent regarding this matter is to assure that Ms. Nettles-Nickerson will no longer be in a position to further victimize the citizens of Michigan and more importantly those residing in the greater Lansing area, which is exactly what will likely occur if she is allowed to retain her law license.

Please provide me with a copy of Ms. Nettle-Nickerson's response to this complaint at the address below.

Respectfully yours,

David Palmer
The Watchdawg



Dave Palmer
The Watchdawg
Email: Noethics1@aol.com
URL: www.noethics.net

April 23, 2008

Stuart Dunnings, III
Prosecuting Attorney
Ingham County, Michigan
(517) 483-6397 (fax)

Re: Felony Perjury of Judge Beverly Nettles-Nickerson

Dear Mr. Dunnings:

I trust that you are aware of the egregious nature of Judge Nettles-Nickerson's misconduct, which includes a factual finding by the State of Michigan Judicial Tenure Commission in Formal Complaint No. 82 wherein it ruled that she had committed perjury in violation of MCL 750.422 (see page 6 of Feb 12, 2008 Master's Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law) at www.lansingstatejournal.com/assets/pdf/A399716213.PDF.

The findings of the Judicial Tenure Commission make it painfully clear that Nettles-Nickerson knowingly and with the prerequisite intent to deceive did in fact commit perjury on more than one occasion in an overt and rather inept attempt to garner judicial jurisdiction in a divorce case.

As a longtime prosecutor and practicing attorney, I can't imagine that you are not totally taken aback by this Judge's felonious conduct in repeatedly perjuring herself and in the process obstructing justice. Moreover, we the people are consistently apprised by the judiciary that they are to be held to a "higher standard of conduct." If the mantra of "we're held to a higher standard of conduct" is true and not some hyperbolic rant, then it is high time that a public official of Nettles-Nickerson's rank be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law.

If your office fails to prosecute Nettles-Nickerson for perjury and obstruction of justice it will only further prove that judges are in fact held to a "lower standard of conduct" that those they have judged over the years.

Even though it would appear that Nettles-Nickerson is going to be removed from the bench, this in and of itself in no way ameliorates and/or exonerates her from engaging in multiple felonies. In fact, it should have absolutely no bearing on your decision to prosecute her (emphasis supplied).

The time has arrived for those who are endowed with the public trust to answer for their misdeeds, especially when it involves felonious conduct. It would be frivolous to the nth

degree for Ms. Nettles-Nickerson to plead ignorance of the law. Although ignorance of the law is not normally a valid defense, it is unfortunately in many instances a stepping stone to higher office.

If the high and mighty are made to answer for their felonious misdeeds, then those lesser beings amongst us will clearly take notice and maybe, and just maybe we'll begin to see a significant decline in perjury, obstruction of justice and frauds on the court. However, if you fail to prosecute Nettles-Nickerson, then how in good conscience could you ever consider doing so when one of your constituents so acts?

And finally, if you do fail to prosecute Nettles-Nickerson then I would respectfully suggest that you place the following sign on your door and that of every courtroom in Ingham County.

**You are now entering
the Ingham County
Liar's Den. Remember,
if ya wanna win, lie like
hell. And don't worry,
cuz we don't really give
a damn!**

Thanks for your time and attention to this serious matter and I hope to hear from you soon.

Respectfully yours,

David Palmer
The Watchdawg
Folsom, CA 95630